EARLIDS

Alvaro Cassinelli (*)

Third Workshop on Devices that Alter Perception (DAP 2010) in conjunction with ISMAR 2010, October 13th, 2010, Seoul, South Korea



(*) Assistant Professor / Meta Perception Group Department of Information Physics and Computing University of Tokyo

Concept: 2006 Prototype: 2010 Voluntary control of auditory gain by voluntary contraction of mastication muscles...



Reflex-reaction to harmful noise (including facial muscle contraction) has a natural effect on auditory gain – *the proposed device seeks to amplify this effect*.

Possible Applications:

Protection:

• useful for entering/leaving harmful noisy places (or standing momentary noisy environments) without having to use hands or earplugs.

Augmented sensing:

- augmented dynamic range for human auditory perception (attenuation *and amplification also* like earing aids when opening the mouth).
- new "ability" leading to a new form of hearing (conscious or unconscious; for instance modulation may be proportional to arousal, heart beat, etc): *entacoustic performance*

Everyday life:

• voluntary, seamless control of gain on playback (portable music players, etc).



all analog early prototype (*did not work well...*)

New *digital* prototype:



Computer (openFrameworks)

(*) thanks to Daito Manabe for lending the hardware

Demo sound modulation (with pre-recorded sound so everybody can hear!)



Modulating music with your heartbeat...



Aorta

DISCUSSION

DAPs in the light of the Action in Perception Theory





This intuitive/enactive knowledge of the functional interrelation between actions and sensed consequences is what we call perception (and at a higher level understanding)



Devices that alter perception interfere the natural sensorymotor feedback, in order to create new "sensory-motor contingencies"







(*) Yes, a car: pressing lightly on the pedal, make us fly at high speed: isn't that a beautiful example of "altered action-perception"? Earlids: amplification of an otherwise subtle effect on auditory gain

To Blink ...: amplification of disruptive effect of blinking



Other forms of alteration are possible of course (artificial synesthesia, inverted goggles and inverted audio, etc), but I was interested here in **making the subjects aware of a process that remains invisible to themselves** because it is all too natural.

Putting some order (idiosyncratic reflections!)

What happens *before* the subject masters the new sensory-motor contingencies?

• At first, the subject observe the consequences of actions without completely engaging with the world. **The device is just a "controller**" (ex: *I can use TBNTB to change the TV channels*). It is not yet a *functional part* of the user.

• As with the inverted goggles experiment, follows a phase of passive wonder (or fear), as **the world** has became alien.

• Cautious exploration of this "naked" reality; realization that there is more to the eyes that meets the eye (time for reflection on meta cognition?)

• Order slowly reappears; regain of conscious (but still not intuitive) control.

The experience facilitates deconstruction of the "old" senses in search of new meaningful experiences: time for artistic or scientific exploration

And what happens when the new sensory-motor skills are finally integrated?

• The subject may still be capable of decoupling the action from the percept: this leads to the use of such interfaces as controllers, but with improved skill (ex: piano player).

• Augmentation of the senses, emergence of new senses (and sensibilities), **X-men interfaces** and transhumans.

Summary and Conclusion

What are DAP?

...devices that tamper with the SMCs!

For what purpose?

- 1. sensory substitution
- 2. sensory augmentation (improving or creating new senses)
- 3. can be used as controllers (but this is a by-product)
- 4. insights on the process of perception
- 5. help overcome blockages in personal perception (social, art, medical applications)

How to design them? There are practical as well as ethical concerns